

The Nobles being proue and disorderly put together, shewl Summaries or Extracts: of many of them made by an unknowne hand composed and inscribed at the end of such. Lawes of the Code as then repaled, or explained, or had any relation to pallor Authentick, because taken out of the large authentick bulgare translation of Justinianis Nobles. Which performance is by a commone Mistake ascribed to Gratianus. For mention is made of these Authenticks before Gratianus days. But seeing we are not certain, that all of them were placed in their proper places of the Code at the same time; some perhaps intertiden added by Gratianus, and thereby occasion givene to reckon him Author of all. To hinder these Explanations of the Nobles to be confounded with the Laws of the Code, Giulius pacius a Nobis of bisigna caused print them in a different character, where by they may easily be distinguished from the rest. In case of difficulty arising from ~~such~~ these Extracts (which indeed are not altogether to be trusted), it is necessary to go back to the fountain Head from whence they spring; in order to remeber it. But there are some original Authentick Constitutions in a manner expressed in the Code by the Emperors Theodosius ~~the~~ the first and second, and distinguished by a different charactor. Such are Ruth Habita & No. Tunc pro pector. Ruth Sacramenta publica & sum & fustas & bandem. Ruth Causa Cde s. S. Petri. Ruth. Item nulla Cde. Episcopat. Ruth. Statutis & de episcopis. Ruth. Audientia. Ruth. Nabigia. Ruth. Sacra. which have the authority of Law, without any other witness.

Senensis a Notarie of Milan (or as others say a German) opened the first famous School of Law and taught it in the university of Bononia (now called Bologna) in Italy. He was the first who set up his Law School from Verona or Mantua, the Tongue being plain hard words, as the Tongue discourses the Alline. wherein he was imitated by Martin a Notarie of Romana, Bulgarianus Ghizelinus a poeta Rabennatus, and Azo of Bologna, and others who studied under him. It was the said Ghizelin who wrot the Books of Laws after the Notarie in his Body of the Civil Law. Tyroneus Accurcius a Florentinus, Azo's Scholler, collected, refind, and improved with his own additions, the Glosses of these before him; and to his performance we owe the celebrated Corpus Glossatum: For no Man attempted to make in that kind after him. Bartolus and his Scholler Baldus, and their followers, Alexander Tortagius, Bartholomaeus Salicetus, paulus Casanensis Iason Maynus Petrus Commentator upon the Law in a more polite, and diffused manner. In short, Gratianus and all the Lawers after him did it in their manner of Judgment, bright wit and penitration, explained the Law in their manner to good purpose, but in a barbarous impolite style; and have left often by godly in matters of historical antiquity; which is to be imputed to the Ignorance and Barbarism of the age in which they lived.

Andreas Alciat a Milanese was the first who united the study of the Law with polite Learning, and the knowledge of Antiquities. Many Latines & Meni, who by their elaborate writings afford much light to the Roman Law, have copied after him. But James Cujac born at Tholoni acquited and discoursed so compleatly Knowledge of it, that he has surpass'd all that went before him, and is a just Guide to the <sup>g</sup> that come after him.