

purfles were cut or picked is 2 December 1628 Hamilton also
Scarlet to Young. Because it is not possible to know the per-
son who ^{has} purfled one purfel picked and cut in fairs and
publick Markets; and thofe cannot know the offendre.
A libel for stealing of pearls Jewels and goldsmithes
in General to a certaine Value belonging to the Queen, was
inftained without knowing the particular Number and
quallity of them, or in what proportion they were at this
time, June 1628 Margrall Hartfide. But an Indictment
for the Crime of Loring in General without specifying an
Act of Loring in place or person injured, was found to be
Relevantly August 1714 William Baillie and others. More
than it would be Relevant to libel Murder or Bullocking
in General: because the Jewells were all together taken
by Disprestated of their Defender of offering to prove
an alibi, or that the Meale and Brunch paid to be taken by
forced was freely given or paid for. One accused for that
two Cows from the purfuer having pleaded, that he could
not be tried for the Cows till the end of a Civil process
Commenced ^{against} him for them as his own Cows right. It
was held before the Criminal purfuer that Defendant was
Reputed as not Relevant to stay the trial by an Inquiry
of Probi ~~but~~ & Pidole Commed patrize which is more
Solum than a Spurzine that may be proved by two wit-
nesses, whereof Neither would be admitted upon the purfue-
ing if it were other wayes; therof might always rank
a Civil process about goods they have stolen before the
and Criminally purfued 14 July 1624 John Robert Jones Bul-
let after words when one accused of stealing goods off
purfuer ground, pleaded, that the goods belonged to the
pannel himself, and were only Repondens to the purfuer
in trust for the Jannels behoof to secure them again
being purfued by his Proctors; and he had a Civil Ac-
tion of trust for Restitution depending before the
Lords of Session. That the Commed all Criminal

proffes till either purfuer or Defendant appear before the Civil point, and obtained the pannel to Report and
appear before the Court of Justiciary who charged by
the purfuer after discussion. And it was Agreed for
the purfuer, that if he a Defendant would receive all the reward
might proceed that good law may by them be conged to
themselves in property, where by a Civil process the thief would
be tried; 1635 James Somers again, one being ac-
cused of stealing a certain quantity of Corns pertaining
to the Accuser, in so far as they were grown and stakced
by him. It was Agreed for the Pannel of the Accuser
clearing Leading and staking the Corns in controversy
do not infer, that they belong to him, that is to say
specify where they grow. 2d. Any action upon the pannel
had with this Court was by Virtue of a writ of affix
ing upon the Decretal of a Civil Judge. The Justices
found this Matter to be all together Civil, and therefore
Remitted all trial the same to the Ordinary Judges Feb.
1631 Robert Dykes. A man purfued for the Steal-
ing or Reftling of a horse and two Mares, having proved
that he bought them in a publick Market at the
ordinary Market time for an Recepte price which
freed him from punishment, and the retitution of the
horſes, which cannot be demanded by the Justices, but
may be demanded ^{to} the Civil Judge, and the same will
purfue the thief ^{as} if he had taken them before he can have
Restitution Act 26 Parli. Jeff. i Ch. 2. This is also Agreed
the Difly to pay to the purfuer of an Officer, and
Defender, the pannels Defender to them 17 June 1662
George Patrize A Shuttle of a Gabin, two Cords two
Self coats, a pair of breeches, a pair of Silver Buttons,
a tobacco box and a pair of Cuttins, being hollow out
of a horſe; it was sustainted before and to purfuer that