

juries, is punishable with Death Act 7. Parl. 1696. plus the
 Inwarding Fines of his Majesties privy Councilors met in one
 of their own Chambers for serving his Majesty in Supplicating
 a tedious tumult within Edinburgh and the suburbs
 was sustained relevant to infer a Capital punishment
 6 May 1682 Aberdeen & Elgin and others. But there was
 no law in England before the Year 1710 appointing a pub-
 lic punishment for a faulting or wounding a privy
 Councilor in the Execution of his office: When such a
 Crime was made felony without benefit of Clergy 11
 cap. 16. Upon this occasion. Anthony de Guiseard (Common
 called Marquis de Guiseard) a French Papist Residing
 in England being in Custody and Under Examination for
 high treason 3 March 1710 Before a Committee of the privy
 Council, perceiving his treason to have been fully dis-
 covered, in order to prevent the pain and Infamy of his ap-
 proaching punishment, and to revenge his Discovery
 of the said offences, did with a pen knife stab the Right
 Honourable Robert Harley Esq; then Chancellor of the
 Exchequer and One of the privy Council assisting in the Com-
 mittee. It hath been questioned how it may be proved, that
 one of the Kings officers is Inwarded upon the account of
 service done to his Majesty, in Answer to which, if one
 of the Kings officers be Inwarded while he is actually
 doing service to the King, the Design is certainly
 presumed from the Nature of the Attempt. And even when
 a privy Counsellor not actually in the exercise of his
 Majesties service was Inwarded, it was sustained relevant
 to be Inwarded from presumptions that he was actually
 upon the account of service done to his Majesty; Unless
 the Inwarder could justly trust a private quarrel or grudge
 or some other Reason why he did so. January 1678
 James Mitchell Because the Design of the Inwarder being

a latent act of the Mind cannot be otherwise proved than
 by presumption.

The striking or hurting any Superior Judge sitting
 in Judgment is Capitally punished Act 173 Parl. 127. 6.

What was limited before pag. 456. That the Verbal In-
 juries done to private persons be long to the Cognizance of
 the Commissioners; yet such Verbal Injuries done to Magis-
 trates or Judges are tried in the Court of Judiciary and
 the offenders subjected to an arbitrary punishment, may
 be here again taken Notice of.

The Cursing or beating of parents by their Children
 above the age of sixteen years not being Distracted is puni-
 shable with Death: and Children Under that age, but past
 puberty, offending in such Manner, are put to an ar-
 bitrary punishment act 20 Parl. 1565. Ch. 2. Thus a fond
 Whig these Expressions or either of them to his father viz
the Devil take him, the Devil take him, was found relevant
 to infer the pain of Death, 6 Feb. 1688 Pl. G. 11. 10. 10.
 This is agreeable to the Law of Moses Exod. 21. 17. The Un-
 grateful Behaviour of Children towards their parents being
 a very great provocation to God and Honour father and if
 we do not punish it, he will. For these are perfectly lost
 to all Virtue, and abandoned to all wickedness, that have
 broke thro' the Bonds of filial duty and throw one to
 such a Degree as in Word or Action to abuse their own
 parents. God takes Notice with what eyes of his Love look
 upon their parents, and will reckon for the loving look
 and the casts of the evil eye as well as for ill language
 given them. The eye that Mocketh at his father, and
 God is able to obey his Mother, the Ravens of the
 Valley shall pluck it out, and the young eagles
 shall eat it, Proverbs 20. 17. And many that have come
 to an ignominious end, have owned that the Devil