

house, till he hath served three Years as an Advocate, or for
to the Queen, act of Regul. 1695 Art. 10.

The priviledges & Common lawe Members of the College
of Justice are these following. i^o No Inferior court is compellent
to Judge in Civil causes belonging to Members of the College
of Justice, Act 39 in anno Reg. R. & L. Mc. Henrie & others
Act 39. And particularly they are exempted from the Civil
jurisdiction of the Magistrates of Edinburgh by whom, upon a
declaration propounded by a Member of the College of Justice
right to defend from any procedure against him without his
consent of Advocatione 23 Feb 1687 College of Justice contra
Gown of Edinburgh 82^o They are exempted from watching
Warding and other services within Edinburgh and any Imposition
for the same Act 15 3. Feb 1612 Act 27 3. Parl C. 15. Feb 23 or b.
1687 College of Justice contra Gown of Edinburgh to be
in time of Palestine Banishment and publick Troubles
they voluntarily arm and put themselves under the
Discipline and keep guard in the session house 3^o They
are free from paying Annually for their Rent, by their
Customs Baileys Marke, floridours, and other Proprietors
lie on their provisions of Coal and Pitch for their fami-
lies and their other goods carried to or from Edinburgh par-
ticularly at the port and other places within the borders
thereof upon sight of a certificate subscribed by them, the
said goods or provisions belong to them Exempt die 10
Expo 47 they have a priuilege in the way and the use
of stabling them as horsemen within Edinburgh
for executions or Executions by the hand or by
vention of estates, which is explained in the margin
Vide Vol 2 page 10. These Common priuileges of Members
of the College of Justice are Extended by General the
Session to the persons following, Viz one Recruit serv-
ant of each one of the three Clerks official of the Session
Examiners in each of the three Clerks official of the Session
two Servants Employed by the Clerk Register and keeping
of the publick Registers, the Keeper of the Session
House, and the Keeper of the Advocated Library Edom-
die inter Eborum. And by an order of the Lord of Justi-
cier (4 November 1692) is Communicated to the Clerk
of the Justice Court his Deputy and Servants, and that if
Members of the said Courts Provided always, that if
any of these Servants or others to whom the priuileges

priuileges are extended, shall keep Merchants Shoppe tra-
verses or alehouses, or concourse any other trade within the
Burgh, they shall not Enjoy any of the priuileges above men-
tioned 23 Feb 1687 College of Justice contra Town of Edinburgh

The Priuileges and Immunitiess of the College of Justice
are of date with the institution thereof and have been hinc
ratified by many acts of Parliament particularly by the
only tel of Queen Marie's second Parliament which seems to
have been borrowed for that sole end. Their speciall priuileges
within the Town of Edinburgh and Liberties therof were re-
clared by the Lord of Session in two Bills passed 23 Feby
1687 College of Justice contra Town of Edinburgh by an
act among the acts of Parliament. A person was found not
to have forfeited his priuileges as a Member of the College
of Justice by failing to attend the riding in the Abbey of St. G-
ron's yearly to sum the Dignities of his creditors 11 January
1710. And another contra Gordon.

The Board, officers and Members of the Court of Ex-
chequer Enjoy the same priuileges as Members of the College
of Justice Enjoy in Scotland except that they may be sued
in Justice before the Lord of the Session for debt
not belonging to the Court of Exchequer 6 M. Cap 26
510

As Members of the College are priuileged, so they are
in some Cases relieved beyond others by the Chequers
placed to render their account and Remise of Debts
about Among Members of the College of Justice can Diet
Aug 1690 1691 1692 1693
Aug 1692, Vide also magistris Bargain'd quota Vol 3 Vid pag
510 1693 of they cannot be named factors upon sequestred Es-
tates, Act of Scot. 23 Novem 6 1710. They are obliged to
have Summarily as to any thing that concerns their Em-
ployment or trust from their Clerks as if they Releas
to Exhibite or Delivere Writs intrusted to them. Yet an
Advocate was found obliged to Answer on a Bill for an
Aberrant affair without a just proposit, the the Mal-
lex concerned not this Employment. In respect the
Lord are in use to determine Bills and Disputes carried
Upon Bills of Indictment where both parties appear
Summarily, and this case required Arbitracle 21 January
1676 Rume contra Rume. But in other cases there
of the College of Justice cannot be more summarily
done.