

to him that the Testator delayed to pay, and it may afford that such
just Question should evanish, which it behoves to do if there were still
place to an executor ad non executari, seeing the Decree or further In-
gence thereto by Commission adjudication etc could not be transposed or
followed in the person of an executor ad non executari who represented from
the Deceased, and told the executor at whose instance the Decree is ob-
tained and perhaps executed.

One of More officers executors die before the testament is ex-
ecuted, the office becomes to the survivor one or More 23 July 1625. After
contra Reward. Whether before such death they were only Executors &
zealously the testament was also Conformed; with this Difference,
the Executor died before Confirmation his Heir of his heir's
Claim to any share he might have had in the Deceased part of his
share of his person he was Deemed Executor to, but it goes to find
as are Neutral in Blood to that person for the time of the Confirmation
where as he died after Confirmation, he transmits that Interfere
his own Heir of his. If all the Co-executors, or a sole executor
while any part of the testament remains Unexecuted, there is still
for a new executor to be Deemed for executing the remainder,
called executor pro rata Non executari. Now Mr. John Peat, of Leith, 26 June 1629
\$88 who is accountable to those who were Heirs of his at the
first Confirmation, for the office of Executor can no more be assigned
than an office of Diligence Spotswood Pro. 26. Executors of his, who
are only fit to Confirm the Executor to Cash his father and his Heir
dead before all the goods were Executed, the Heir of his to the Mollis
and Not the Neutral legal of the Father, was found to have Right to
the good Unexecuted by the child in so far as the Mollis thereof
extended to. Abut it was placed for the Heir of his of the
child that the right of the whole good whether Executed or Not
pertaining to this only Child by his blood without Division
should be transmitted to his Heir of his 31 January 1634/5
for contra Nicolson. But in so far as the testament was executed
before the executors death, his share passed to his executor, with a
burden of a proportion of the debts of the first Decreed 23 July
1625. After in Particular Reward 16 July 1628 Peacock contra Peacock
25 January 1625 Morris contra L. Drown 22 June 1629 Gordon
contra Druim Blair ibid. \$59 879. As Good as one Decreed
Diligence to affit his Real estate within three years
after his death are preferred as to the said Real estate to the
Creditors of his Apparatus his late 24 Part 1 less 1 Ch. 2. for the
Indecision of Creditor and the proper Creditor of his exec-
utor qua Heir of his were both compelling upon heresom
of the sum Conferred, the predecessor Creditor was preferred
the creditor of the executor who had done the first Diligence
especially Considering that the predecessor's creditor appears
for his part before the general established in the executor
person by a Decree against the Debtor to July 1628 by reason of
Edinburgh contra Lord Lee & Urquhart for the performance of
a predecessor Creditor to the creditors of his apparent heir

hair introduced by Law (c. Act 24) had place in a Marrowblood
well as a real estate 9 Feb 1711 Graham contra McLean & Lamont.

Art. 2.
The Office, Interest of Executors Conformed
Any Executor may Rescind the office in presence of a Judge
before he has Interfere with any of the goods of the Deceased, but
may not Rescind after his is no longer Spotswood Pro. Art. 2d
Executors.

All Executors are obliged to be diligent in executing the test-
ament, one who accepts of the office being bound to Discharge
the only office, but the same Degree of Diligence is not required
in all; those who have profitable offices being liable for more Dilig-
gence than such as have little. An Executor who has but an un-
profitable office, and his labour for his party as a Stranger
Executor where the Deeds part is掌管 well An ordinary
or particular Delayed, is liable only to assign the Subject of the
Executor to those having Interests that they may pursue, and
is accountable only for Supreme Negligence 11 June 1629 Pro.
contra Newing 2 June 1628 Pool contra Morison. But an
Executor who has benefit by his officie liable for exact Diligence.
The Ordinary Diligence Required in Executors, is a
sentence one Registered hearing against the Debtors of the
Deceased, to be obtained within such a time as the Judge shall
order, to be obtained within such a time as the Judge shall
order according to the Pleas of the Parties 19 of the
case Blair 66 3 Gil. 8 5 Cap. An Executor was found liable
for Diligence and Comissions, albeit by the testament,
the Legatearies and others therein bound had power in
case of the executor Refusing or Delaying to Implement
the will of the Deceased, to pursue for affching the whole
goods as effectually as if the same had been his given
to themselves by the Deceased 23 July 1628 Lord and Lady
Elibank & his sisters contrabord. Tresson hall and his Son
because had the Executor abffined from or Repudiated
the office it had fallen to another who would have been
liable to have done Diligence, and an Intermitter or he
by a title Debarred another is Unfavorable for Diligence
Executors Creditors are obliged to Diligence for what they
Conform; they being obliged only to Conform and furnish
as may pay themselves the 1st November 1629.
An executor creditor was found liable to Creditors of the
Deceased for the price of the superplus of More real estate con-
firmed by him above than paid himself without Receipt
upon the other Creditors to prove that he Intermitted
there