

Specific thing or sum is bequeathed to different persons in which case the last will annuls the first. By the Civil law indeed where the same thing is entirely bequeathed first to one, and then to another in the same writ, Concursu partu facient, the legacy is divided between them 38 Just. D. Regal. But in Holland, if such a case should happen, the same legacy would belong to him to whom it was last made, from a presumption, that the testator altered his mind while the testament was framing; it being ordinary to change prior by posterior clause of the same writ. *Slair lib. 3 tit. 6. § 42.* By the Civil law a legacy Super in a testament by the writer thereof in favour of himself, is null and the writer punished. *lib. 1. § 1. de his que pro scripto hab. sol. tit. 6. de his que in test. ad scrib.* Because if such legacies were sustained, it were cause for the Writers of testaments to impose upon the Testators who are ordinarily in a dying condition, by shifting in legacies to themselves, to the Ruin of the Testators Near Relations. Which Law is in (in vita Aronius Cap. 17) Attributed to Nero, which was owing to Claudius, & is as *lib. 6. de his que ad scrib. test. in §. 1. de Preparative.* The words of suspicion thought so dangerous, that they would not sustain a legacy in favour of the writer of a testament unless it were so insinuated otherways than by the subscription of the testaments. As by proving that the Testator gave special orders for writing such a legacy, or that the testament containing the legacy was read over to the Testator &c. Nor would they sustain the testament by itself to prove the legacy, tho supported by a Codicil under the hands of two Witnesses bearing that the testator declared that he had signed the testament which he will and understood to be executed and fulfilled according to the tenor thereof. Because the Codicil supported no more, than that the subscription to the testament was true; and did not bear that the testator gave warrant for such a legacy, or that the testament was read over and signed. *15 Feb. 1638 Lady Bohall contra Dutcheff of Lauderdale.* A Nuncupative legacy left by word of mouth within 100 pounds, or a greater if restricted to that sum is sustained 7 July 1629 Wallace contra Mure. *Slair lib. 5. § 348. 36.* And may be proved by witnesses. And

A written testament Reduced for Informality, was not sustained as a Nuncupative testament 20 July 1711 Montrose and her husband contra Montrose because one who declares his Intention to make his will in writ excluded all Nuncupative Wills, tho the writ should be null for want of the legal form. And as Effectually as the written testament had it substituted, would have left no place for a Nuncupative Will. For quod Voluit, in scriptis testari, non potuit, et quod prohibet in Nuncupare, Non Voluit. And so his law about written testament as a Nuncupative testament, would be *Vitiata transitio de Generis in Generis.* But as it already observed a Nuncupative testament for 200 pounds might be supported for 100 pounds. Because of the Testator's intention to Make a Nuncupative testament; And it being his will to have fulfilled for a greater sum than law allows, it should be sustained for the sum allowed by law.

Legacies may become Void either totally, or in part. Legacies cease totally, 1st by the Testator Revoking them either expressly or tacitly. They may be revoked expressly in a separate Codicil. Legacies are Revoked tacitly, by some Act of the Testator, from which Intention to deprive the Legataries of them is gathered. Thus a special legacy of any for period of time is understood to be Revoked, by the Testator selling, or other ways Alienating it; *Legatoria Civiles 8C. Jom. 1. part 2. Liv. 4. tit. 2. §. 11. Art. 13.* For being he thought himself of it, much more doth he deprive the Legataries of it who was to have it from him. If he who bequeathed a thing do after ward give it away to some other person than to the Legatary, this donation would annul the legacy with much more Reason than a sale. *L. 15. Jus. de in. vel transf. Legat.* For one may be obliged to sell out of necessity a thing which he had bequeathed, without changing the good will he had for the Legatary; but one cannot be presumed to give it away except freely preserving the Force to the Legatee. A special legacy of a Movable Bone is annulled by the Testator taking a subsequent heritable security for the sum therein 8 July 1643. *Monfiston contra Pringle.* If a testator bequeath