

within three years after his death ad 24 Parl. 1 Eliz i Ch. 2. of the Diligence Which Entitles to this preference w<sup>t</sup> Complete Diligence, appearing or adjudication with Settlement or a Charge against the Superior to Justice, sounding, and decrees for Making arrest him or goods for the commanding Master b6 & 7 Eliz 12 & 29. For if he Indebted in these three years and perished thereafter were left the preference might come to last 40 Years. There fore Creditors one Deceased were not preferred to the Receiver of a Disposition Omision bonorum from one living heir in General and Executor confirmed to the Deceased his Creditors having only Judicial Diligence by Settlement which they were bounded to Complete by the time dying within the three Years of 17 June 1712 for Chal<sup>t</sup> contra Creditors of Harden. For as an Heir cannot wrong his predecessors Creditors by a Voluntary right within the Year; he may a Contrari's effectually postpone after clapping thereof, if the Creditors of the Deceased have Not Confuted their security by Inhibition, a Charge to enter heir, or else<sup>m</sup> which may be done intra Annum Deliberandi. 1. pag 1363.

But the naked appurtenance of a person known and living in Scotland is his claimed to found Breach at his instance in several cases, yet Action is not sustained at the instance of a born out of the Country, Unless he be living heir, or some Document be produced Recognizing and giving his proximity to the person whose apparent heir he pretends to be 17 Decem 1697 Donaldson contra Brown 23 July 1713 Gordon and Osburn contra Campbell and others.

### Art. 2.

*Native Inheritance Competent to the sever  
kinds of heirs after they are Exeterd.*

Whatever a Man hath in heritable property, he transm<sup>ts</sup> it to his heirs, but Not alike to all heirs. And the same heirs get the whole heritage, others only particular lands or Rights some fall to the Succession alone and sold by others only by equal parts or proportionably; all of them succeed in Universum de Incunctib<sup>e</sup> 1. f. 22 Verb. Signif. b. 37. f. 22 acquir. Vel omittit. That is to the Whole right of such a kind, but not to Whole of each Right. The Inheritance comprehends only goods Rights which are transmissible to a successor. For it may happen that the Deceased was in possession of some which he had power to leave to his Heirs; and there are no parts the Inheritance. His Rights annexed to the person who

are Extinct by Death, such as a pension for life and heritable rights of offices, do not pass to his heirs. Hear have Right to heritable Inheritances and Obligations Not only Conceived in favour of the Deceased and his heirs, but even such as are Granted to him without Mention of his heirs. Blair b6. 8 Feb. 5 & 6 Vid. Supra pag 507. This An Heir was Entitled to claim Improvement of a promise to dispose lands to his predecessor Had. Recd. 22 Feb. 1670. That of Roberton contra Livingstone and of a Bond to Achieve his predecessor of a sum of Money 25 March 1672 & Rume contra Rume. Where a person Nomination Substitute in an affixation to the sum in a heritable bond without Mention of heirs, dead before the Instalment, the former heirs were found to have right thereto as heirs of provision to the latter 5 January 1670 Junius contra. Finnes. And Evena Everso<sup>n</sup>, Which with us is Strictly Fiduciary, was found Competent to the Recovery of Fiduciary Not expected, who were held to be omitted by Mans oversight. Because the Rent bore Not the ordinary charge allowing the Heir to Recover in his own lifetime. 1 January 1682. C. Murray contra Grant.

After the Inheritance which has lain sometime without a Master, is accepted by the Heir, his entry to it has his retroactive effect that it maketh him to be Considered in the same Manner as if he had entered to the Succession in the Moment that it fell to him by the death of the person to whom he succeed. Whel ever space of time there may have been between his said Death and the day by which he takes upon him the quality of Heir, it will be the same thing, as if he had Declared his Acceptance at the time of the death. And as he will have all the goods which may have augmented the Succession, so he will be also bound for all the charges that have fallen on since the death of the person to whom he succeed by 24 f. 22 acquir. Vel omittit. Hence. 6. 193. If the Reg. juv. l. 13. 8 f. 22.

Because apparent heir immediately after the person deceased at death, do frequently Dispose their predecessors estate in Whole or in part to the prejudice of his Creditors before they come to the knowledge of their debtors death, or before they could do Lawfull Diligence against such apparent Heir for did by Conclusion suffer their predecessors estate to be Appraised or adjudged from them for payment of their own debts real or simular without respect to the predecessors Creditors, and it being just that every Mans estate should be first applied to the payment of his own debts, before it be affected with debts contracted by his Heir. Law doth bind an Heir to Dispose his predecessors estate immediately after his death to the prejudice of the predecessors Creditors, and