

qura libera debent esse Matrimonia: whch Dicte was reported 16 December 162. & Craig contra Sinclair Spotswood Draft. lit. Marriage. We may observe this Difference, and other Contraries, that whereas other Contracts bind the Parties irreverably from the Moment that the Contract is formed; a Contract of Marriage is in Suspence, till the Marriage is solemnized, and implied this Condition, that it shall not take Place, unless the Marriage be accomplished and that it shall remain void if the Marriage be not accomplished l. 6d. c. 10. §. 4. ff. de jure dot.

Having spoke of Espousals before Marriage, I proceed to treat of Marriage it. q. In doing whereof I shall set forth 1^o What is Marriage, and the several Kinds of it. 2^o What Persons may not contract Marriage. 3^o The Effects and Consequences of Marriage while it stands. 4^o How Marriage may be dissolved, and the Effects of the Dissolution.

Sect. i.

What is Marriage, and the several Kinds of it.

Marriage is a Conjunction of Man and Woman, by mutual Consent, in a constant Society of living together till Death part them. Mutual Consent makes a Marriage, and founds the conjugal Rights before Consummation; l. 30. ff. de reg. s. 1. & 2. the Parties are capable to consummate it, i.e. to seal the Bargain by Copulation. For the Consent of a Man naturally frigid, or a Woman naturally impotent or sterile or nimis anta, or of dubious Hermaphrodites where neither Sex doth eminently predomine makes not a Marriage. But Consent by Persons able to copulate, the act to procreate Children thro' their being superannuated is sufficient to constitute a Marriage which will subsist, and found the conjugal Rights, tho' the Party never put their carnal Capacity in Practice. Nam ibid. affinity will not arise from Marriage before Consummation. Nam ibid. affinity Marriage is 1^o Either formal, by an express Consent, in these Words I marry you, or I take you for my Husband or Wife; or presumed by tacit Consent, implied from the Parties cohabiting as Man and Wife, or owning themselves to be married or from Copulation subsequent to Espousals or a Promise of Marriage. Nam ibid. & Ab. 3. tit. 3. s. 42. verò it will not be sufficient. Which presumed Marriage cannot be pasted from by either or both Parties, and founds the conjugal Obligation, and makes the Children procreated lawfull. Thud a Contract of Marriage as sustained to oblige the Man to solemnize the Marriage; seeing he had procreated Children with the Woman, and had by his Wives acknowledged her to be his Wife; albeit she had renounced the Contract of Marriage by a posterior Agreement. See h. t. Husband & C. Barclay contra Parker. Husband will have Right to the Country of Scotland, and a Wife to a Feme without proving a lawfull Marriage, if the Marriage was not quarrelled in the Lifetime of the Party out of whose Lands the Courtesy or Feme is claimed, and cannot be dispossessed, until the Marriage appear to have been unlawfull Act. 77. Par. 6. §. 4. It sufficed to intitle a Woman to a Feme of a deceased Mans Lands, that he had owned his being married to her, in his Letter to a third Person, and left and bequeathed certain sum by Testament to her therein designed his Spouse, and she was reputed his lawfull Spouse 23. Feb. 1714 Anderson contra Wilhart. In a Troth against one for the Delivery of Goods belonging to the Diffractor's deceased Wife, intromettet with by the Defendant who had cohabited some years with her as his Wife and

and for Delivery of a Bond granted by him, payable to her and failing of her by Decesse to her Son procreated betwixt her and the Diffractor, the Defendant having denied, that the Woman deceased was the Diffractor's Wife, and contended that the goods claimed belonged to the Defendant in Proprietary Commission was granted to Ireland where the Marriage was alleged to have been celebrated and children thereof procreated, for proving the Marriage and Cohabitation, and that the Diffractor's Wife was the same Woman who cohabited with the Diffractor and the Defendant was ordained to exhibite the said Bond for further administrating the Marriage. But the Consideration of the Point, whether the Diffractor had Right to the said Moveables since mariti, was superceded till admiring the Plaintiff's Right said. Mean time some Witnesses upon the Place or shortly going off were allowed to be cited and examined, that their Depositions might be in relevantie till the Relevancy were determined, and Woman Witnesses to be taken ex parte nota. 22. July 1714 Multiline contra Brown. 'Tis true the Canon Law c. i. Cap. 30. qu. 3. tonat. Trident. Sift. 8. the Greek Constitution, and the Customs of some Countries

requires the Sacerdotal Benediction as essential to Marriage. But seems we do not as the Roman Catholicks reckons Marriage a Sacrament, our Law doth not require the Ceremonia a. p. essential to it, but considereth the Ceremony of the Church as a Piece of good Order, to ascertain so important a Matter. And the like Bond of Matrimony ought to be celebrated in such a Manner as becomes the Sacredness of that Institution, viz. by the Ministry of the Church, where it can be had: yet I see no Reason why, if Ministers are wanting to perform it, the Civil Magistrate may not marry Persons, as a Justice of Peace can do in England.

Marriage hath been encouraged in all civilized Government, but not at all Times. The Romans were very superstitious in Reference to the particular Month of Marriage, fancying several Days and seasons very unfortunate to this Design. The Lenten Nones and Ides of every Month were strictly avoided. So was the whole Month of February. The whole Month of May was looked on as ominous to contract Matrimony in, according to the Proverb: nubile males Maius, nubere vulgus ait. Ovid Fast. 5. But the most happy season for celebrating the nuptial Solemnity, was that which followed the Goës of June. So in England there are some particular Seasons of the Year, wherein Marriage ought not to be celebrated as in Lent, or on fasting Days: because the Mirth and rejoicing which usually accompany Marriages are not suitable to the Humiliation and sorrow which they ought to have at the time for their Sons Nuptials Rights of the Clergy hit. Marriage in fine.

Marriage is 2^o Either Regular and Solemn, or clandestine and irregular. Regular Marriage in time of Prelacy, was either after proclaiming the Names and Designations or Additions of the Parties three several times in their parish Churches, called Proclamation or Publication of Bans and termed in the Law of England asking the Parties in the Church 2 & 3 Edw. 6. c. 21. Or by a Dispensation from the Bishop. But now Marriage is regular, if celebrated by a Minister of the Gospel authorized by the established Church but 12. Jeff. 5. Par. H. W. tolerated by law 10 A. cap. 7. vid. vol. 2. part. 1. Book. 3. chap. 5. After Proclamation of Bans. This Proclamation (which in Holland may be made