

the French, became an honourable Title in Scotland conferred at the King's Pleasure without Restriction to a Number. There were also both in Scotland and England Knights for Life, called *Bannet*, & others equites vaillanti created under the Royal Standard in the Field of Battle the King. These in the Opinion of *Hene* (Be ver b. significetur bannentes) were derived from their having power to raise a Banner with a Company of Men-at-Arms. But this Honour is now obsolete.

Knight Bachelor called *eques viratus*, from the ancient Method of creating such a Knight by giving him with a sword and putting gilt spurs upon him, is both in Scotland and England a Degree of Honour for Life, and dies with the Person on whom it is conferred. This Title was in former Times bestowed only upon *Soldier* for military Service, or upon Children descended of such persons to encourage them to be like their Ancestors. But of Late it hath beene conferred upon *Counsellors*, as *Lawyers*, *Physicians* and others of Distinguished worth, with no other Ceremony, but the King's lightly touching those to be knighted on the shoulder as they kneel down, and saying heretofore in French, *Sois chevalier au nom de Dieu. Be thou a Knight in the Name of God and then avaue chevalier faire up thy Knight.*

Equire (in Latin *armiger*) signifies now in England a Title of Dignity next below a Knight Bachelor, given to such as either by Birth, place in the State, or Office, do merit some higher Attribute than that of Gentleman. Gentleman is one whom his Blood and Race doth, without any other Title, distinguish from the Multitude; as being descended from a Family that hath borne a Coat of Arms. This word is a Compound from *Gentil* and *Man*: The former whereof some derive from the Latin Word *gentilis*, signifying in its pluperfect tense a Person descended of the same Name and Family; others from the same Word *gentilis* used in a different sense about the declining of the Roman Empire and afterwards for a Person who was no Roman, but one of those Northern Nations who pulled down that Empire, and in their framing of Words out of the Latin to make up their peculiar Languages, were so fond of the Word *gentilis*, by which they found themselves designed by the Romans, that they made it the distinguishing Character of Persons of Quality and Esteem, as appears from the Italian *Gentiluomo*, the Spanish *Gentilhombre*, the French *Gentilhomme*, and Gentleman used with us. And to this latter Opinion concerning the Origin of the Word Gentleman, the learned John Selden (ut. of Honour part. 2. chap. 8. s. 2.) inclines. All are in Britain called Gentleman who maintain themselves without manual Labour. Landed Gentlemen are in Scotland called *Lords* from the Feudal in Burgundy, who were subject to the *Mesdi* or *Lord*. Gentry (as in general Nobility) and Arms descend to all Sons alike, only the eldest beareth the Arms without, and the younger with difference. The chief of a Family takes Place of any Gentleman thereof.

Burgesses are those who have the Privilege of Trade and Merchantizing within their respective Burghs, exclusive of all others. Those who exercise this Privilege, are called *Traffiquing Burgesses*, and such as do not use it are called *Honoraty Burgesses*. Burgesses are divided into those who have right to themselves only for Life, called Simple Burgesses, and such as have it to themselves and their Children called burgesses and *Gild-Brothers*. v. d. vol. 2 pag. 584.

It may be here observed, concerning *Commoners* in general, that no Person can go out of the Kingdom, without a License from the Sovereign; single Commoners are under no such Restraint, unless the publick both particularly stand in Need of their Services &c. In which Case the King may by his Writ ne ebeat Regno, stop any Person from going out of the Kingdom, and make him give Security not to depart without his Majesty's License. Woods imp. post. lib. i. cap. But in no Case are Commoners allowed to remove out of the Kingdom i. Multitudine: seeing the State could not subsist under such a Liberty. Wood ibid.

Chap. 2.

of Persons in Particular Relative or Civil Capacities.

Those in Particular Relative Capacities are 1^o *Man and Wife*. 2^o *Parents and Children*. 3^o *Masters and Servants* who make these kinds of Family Relation.

Tit. i.

of Husband and Wife.

Man and Wife are made such by Marriage, the first Society of divine Institution it being in the Power of the Parties, even by common Consent, to alter any Substantial; as to make the Marriage for a Time, to divest the Man of his marital Power over the Wife, and lodge it in some other or like Person. Marriage is often preceded by *Espousals*, called in the Canon Law *sponsalia de futuro*; Espousals, which are the Utter of Marriage, are a Contract or mutual Promise to marry each other hereafter expressed in these Terms I will marry you, which in the Civil Law is called a *Consent de futuro* c. i. ff. de sponsal. So that Espousals are not, as Sir George Mackenzie (inst. lib. i. lib. 6. §. 3) says, a promise to solemnize the Marriage; which he seems to found upon the ordinary Rule of our Contracts of Marriage, bearing the Parties to accept and take each other for their lawfull Spouse, and to oblige themselves to solemnize the Marriage. For this is truly Marriage, the Consent being present; and if consummated by getting Children, such Children would be lawfull and need no Legitimation. Solemnizing of Marriage before a Minister is indeed required ad maiorem honestatem, but it is not essential to Marriage which consists in Consent without the ceremonial or pastoral Benediction: where as Sponsalia suspend the Consent for some time. Espousals are so effectually obligatory by the Canon Law as that the espoused Persons may be forced to perfect the Marriage, unless there be a reasonable Excuse for not doing it as that either Party had contracted since the Espousals some infectious Disease c. 2. & 3. & de sponsal. punc. c. ult. x. de coniug. Reprof. But both the Civil Law c. i. C. de sponsal. and the Law of Scotland (ut. inst. lib. i. lib. 4. n. 6) allow either Party to refile robes integris while Matters are intrie, that is, till carnal Copulation follow. However where a Man styled himself by Bond to solemnize Marriage with a Woman betwixt and a certain Day, and in Case of Breach to pay her 500 Marks; after expiring of the Day prefixed Action was sustained at the Woman's instance for payment of the 500 Marks, without a previous Declaration of the Breach incurred: seeing dies interpellat pro homine and it was not affirmed that they were married, and when ever the Graunter of the Bond did complete the Marriage, the sum would return to him self. Albeit it was pleaded for the Man, that an Obligation to marry under Penalty was disallowed in law.