

Restitution - less in what it does not take
place.

Benefices; and the Church is presumed to admit qualified persons.
7^o A Minor is not restored if leased dñm p̄re communū utrū, by retaining
les to which Major & Minor are equally obnoxious l. fin. C. de integr. restit.
tit. l. iib. s. i. ff. de reg. jūr. As when a Minor was leased by any pre-judicial
Contract entered into by his predecessor to whom he is held. Arg. l. pen. C. de
restit. milit. l. 2. l. 5. 6. 2. C. de temp. in integr. restit. Matth. de dict. lib.
C. ii. N. 11. brūnem. ad l. 3d. ff. de minor. N. 4. Voet. Comm. ad tit. ff.
de Minor. N. 49. or is leased casū fortuito l. ii. s. 4. ff. de Minor Voet. ibid
Les Loux Cailes &c. tom. i. part. i. liv. 4. lib. 6. Sect. 2. Art. ii. As by ship-
wreck, Hope de minoribus Edgar contra Edgar. So if a Horse bought by
Minor should die the day after he was bought, or prove ill or not worth the
Money after the sale, he cannot be restored; because a Major might be in-
civis in this.

8^o A Minor is excluded from Restitution, by the others proving that the De-
in question was in rem versus or profitable to the Minor or applied to a go-
and profitable Use. Star Inst. lib. 1. tit. 6. s. 44. ii December 1629 Gordon co-
tra E. Galloway ult. February 1637 Veen contra Creditors. Thus a Minor
was found liable for an Account of Mourning taken off by him not only
for himself, but also for his Brothers and Sisters: in regard the Merchant
was not bound to know but all was to be employed for the Minor's own Use
and the Minor's Father had before his Death delivered Money to him to
defray the Charge of Mourning for his Brothers and Sisters 14 July 1715
McDonald contra Marshal. A Minor's Bond was sustained albeit granted with-
out Consent of his Father as lawfull Administrator or Curator to him: seeing the
Same was profitably employed for making him a Notary and Messenger;
tho' this was to be proved otherwise than by the Acknowledgment thereof in
Bond. Seeing eadem facilitate that Minors may be induced to borrow
Money unprofitably, they may be moved to declare what is not Truth
24 February 1672 Corse contra Deans. A Bond granted by a Minor ha-
ving Curators who confessed not thereto, was sustained in respect it bore to
be given to him who was the Grantor's pedagogue for his Fees and Ser-
vices; and the Creditor in the Bond offered to prove by Witness, that he
was the Grantor's pedagogue and served and attended him as the Bond
mentioned 22 June 1627 Drummond contra B. Fougerton.

9^o By the Civil Law Minors having sworn to adhere to their Deeds were not
allowed to quarrel the same l. i. c. Autheut. Sacramenta publicum C. si
advers. vnde which was also Law with us till the year 1601, 13 January
1634 Hepburn contra Hepburn 10 February 1672 Walk contra baillie
13 December 1677 M. Mordant contra Oliphant

January 1691
Fletcher of Aberlady contra Murray of Blackbarony and others for
this reason, because perjury should not be favoured; and it would be
more

Restitution - Minor must also recover on
his part

more hurtfull to the Minor than any civil Damage they could sustain: so
that a Minor was not thought less by losing his Means to save his Soul; and
even in Countries where such Oaths were declared by Law void, the Minor
believed to be absolved by a Church-Man. But now the Confirmation of Mi-
nor Deeds by Oath, is hardly any where sustained that I know, Groen-
wey de legib. abrogat. ad tit. li. si advers. vnde. Go. vnde. comm. ad g. ff. de Minor. N. 48.

With us the exacting such Oaths of Minors is severely discharged by
Law. (Art. 19. Par. 3. Ch. 2.) the Deed thereby confirm'd declared null and the
Executor infamis. For Oaths might as easily be elicited from Minors as
Bonds and Obligations, who will as readily consent to swear, as to contract
to their own prejudice. This the Statute provider expressly only against
taking the Oaths of Minors for confirming their written Obligations;
the remedy thereof extends against eliciting Oaths in Confirmation of
Promises. McHenry observes on d. Act 19. because some Minors might
scribble or be shy to pursue reduction of their Obligations confirmed by
Oath, and it were indecent for one to object against his own Oath. Such
a justif. lies at the instance of any person related to the Minor.
Act. 19. So a Ratification by a Lady on her Death-bed of Deeds done by her
in Minority, was found to be only personal, and not to bind her Heir to
quarrel the same 27 February 1603 E. Lovin contra Montgomery.
But a judicial Ratification by Oath, ^{in test. virum & uxorem} is something
more effectuall vid. infra. pag. 260.

10^o By the Ordinance of Lewis 14 King of France 1601 concerning the
Marine (Sect. 31. Art. 19) Minors may with the Advice of their Relations,
contract Obligations for ransoming their Fathers from Captivity.

If any Minor seek to be restored against an Alienation made by
him in his Minority, he must offer Restitution of what he received on
that account; otherwise he may be repelled *excepione dolii*. And in the
Case of a Minor's Restitution against entering Heir, he will be liable
to refund his Intromissions in quantum capellos factus C. iur. C. de
repud. que sunt in judic. in integ. l. 7. s. 5. ff. de Minor. Sande de juf
ff. lib. 1. tit. 15. def. 2. in fin. A. Pupil was not restored upon the
Death of Lawyer by being served Heir to his Father who was obsequus and
accepting of a Disposition to his Estate with the Burden of Debts; unless
he restored the whole parts of the Estate intromitted or that might
been intromitted with by his Gutors and Curators. i December 1708
Barclay of Lowrie contra Creditors of Bothernay. Where a Minor was
restored upon Minority against a Disposition in his Contract of Mar-
riage of his Father's Estate with the burden of Debts where a
jointure was provided to the Minor's Lady in Contemplation of a
further