

proud Bishop of Rome having in the name of the Roman Empire, professed himself of the Imperial seat of Rome, and by ways and means got all Ecclesiasticks with drawn from any such jurisdiction, so as they might be accountable to himself only. This usurped Authority arrived at his height in the time of Gregory 7 commonly called Hildebrand; who in a synod held at Rome got the Title of pope⁷⁹ = strained to the Bishop of Rome as a particular distinction and prerogative. Having raised himself above the patriarchs and bishops, and therefore taking more Authority upon him than all the rest, assumed to himself alone the propriety of sending his letters to the Fathers and Bishops who had ⁸⁰ consulting about Affairs of their Churches. Which Letters were called Decretals, because they had the Strength and Authority of Ecclesiastical Decrees without being confirm'd by Councils or Synods and the same force in Spirituals as the Emperor's Decree had in Civil Matters. ⁸¹ attempting to make himself Sovereign of the universe not only in Spiritual, but also in temporal Affairs, by assuming power of deposing princes, and putting others in their places: As if it were law now established upon as the Royal Throne of all the Earth.

For establishing and managing this lofty Ecclesiastical Government, suitable Laws were necessary. To this purpose Gregory the Seventh published a writing called Decretales papae, containing 27 propositions in behalf of the highest positions of the Court of Rome. These Decretals were made not only concerning goods of Earth, Ecclesiastical Rules and persons; but also concerning Law suits & judicial process.

Thereafter a Body of Ecclesiastical Laws, called the Canon Law was hammer'd out and compiled in parts successively at different times, whereof some have, and some have not papal Authority.

These parts of the canon law which are confirm'd by papal Authority are 1^o Decretum, 2^o Decretales Gregorii 9. 3^o Sextus Liber Decretarium, 4^o Comontine, 5^o Extravagantes Joannis 22. 6^o Extravagantes Communis.

1^o Gratian a Benedictin Monk of S. Felicis born at Chiusi a city of Tuscany, did under pop. Eugenio 3 anno 1151 in imitation of Justinian's quondam, compone a Collection out of the writings of the Fathers, letters of popes and their Confessions of Faith, usually published by them upon their elevation to the papal Dignity, Canons of Synods or Councils, Fragments of Glosses, and Books of the Civil Law; which was by him intituled Concordia Discordantium Canonum: Because he therein proposed to reconcile Ecclesiastical Decrees and Canons apparently interfering. But now it commonly bears the name of Decretum (Tho' it should more properly be term'd Decreta) because it contains many decisions and determinations of Councils Fathers and Bishops.

This Decretum is divided in three parts. The first contains what regards the Canon Law in general, and Ministers of the Church, under the Title of Distinctiones, because herein contrary Canons are reconciled. It is call'd thus: c. Distinctio. 1. is put for Distinction, which is often first set down and the Letter or word marking out the Canon, with the number of the Canon omitted, A. s. Dist. qu. jure. Whereas otherwise it is, Can. quo. s. dist. 8. The second part contains particular cases upon occasion whereof several Quotations are resolved; whence it is intituled Causa. Ab aliis causa Canons, Quotations, and each Quotation its own canon. only in Causa 33 the third Quotation de penitentia, concerning penance is divided into seven distinctions, and the same canon. In this part the Way of citing is thus: C. 7. c. 25 qu. 2. or thus: Can. Inglutinatis Nestra caus. 25 qu. 2. only in the citations Out of the thirty third causa, penitentia is mentioned thus: can. homicidium 28. caus. 33 d. penitentia dist. 1. Wherby they differ nothing from citing Canons in the first part, save in the addition of d. penitentia. The third part of the Decretum bears the Title of Consecration, because it contains what belongs to the Sacraments, Rites and distinctions, and Consecrations of which it treats under five distinctions subdivided into Canons. 31-33 thus: can. quia corpus 35 dist. 1. consecrat. So that the words d. penitentia used in the second part have no relation to consecration.

Some have doubted, if these Tractates concerning penance and Consecration were or were not by Gratian himself; because not altogether agreeable to papal principles: But seeing the style and method of Composition is of a piece with the rest of his work, and he mentions them in the cause of his sound part, and none of the Antients have questioned their legitimacy; we shall own them as Gratians, till better Reasons be brought for rejecting them as spurious.

To this Decretum there are added by way of Appendix 1^o Canones penitentiales 2^o Canones Apostolorum. The Authority of which apostolical Canones is much disclaimed. Bellarmine, Baronius &c will have them to be genuine Canons of the apostles. Hincmar, & Marcellinus will take them to be framed by Bishops who were the Apostles Disciples in the Second or third Century. The Greek Church allows 85 of them, and the Latins only fifty of them as apostolical and 250 of the rest. Baileys will make them all to be apocryphal and suppose them to have been forged and scraped together out of Ecclesiastical Rules and Canons in the end of the fifth century by an obscure Impostor, and intituled Apostolical to Conciliate the more regard to them. Seeing neither the Master words or phrase of these canons favour or bespeak of the apostolical Age.

There are in the Decretum 160 Canons of no authority called palios, of which denominated many conjectural Reasons are assigned by the learned. Some say that a certain ambitious Cardinal to whom Gratian gave his book to peruse in order to be presented to pope Eugene informed these Canons, which were term'd palios, either as being impious and despicable additions, like Chaff compared to good Corn; or from that Cardinal whose name was palios. Others will have these Canons to have been added to Gratians Decretal by palios his Scholar, and to owe their name to him. There are also who think, that the pope when he received Gratians Book marked those Canons with a Star to signify their not being approved of by him; whence they were called palios. Others again derive the word palios from the Greek Malai a because these were ancient Canons.

Many contradictions and Errors were found in this Decretum which pope pius 4. did first use his labours to have corrected; and afterwards Gregory 13. who shew'd his power in Reformation of the Calendar, employed Roman Correctors for that end. These subjoined their Notes to the particular Canons, with References etc marginal References pointing to the former collections of Canons, where such a canon is to be found: Gratians Notes which are also put after the general Canons are distinguished from the observations of the Correctors by parts, to such whereof a number is add'd. E. g. After Can. 35 cap. 16. quest. 7. The Corrector's note is, In capitularibus & legibus Longobardicis, et apud Burchardum et Bononem, qui ut in ipsi estatute Decretis Gregorij papae, sic habebat. The Letter t in that Canon points the Reference, which is, lib. 5 cap. 44. Et in Legib. Longob. lib. 3. Tit. de Episcopis cl. 1. q. 44. Inf. l. 1. c. 8. Burch. l. 3. c. 41. Ibo p. 3. c. 45. which imports that the Canon is to be found in lib. 5 Capitularis Caroli Magni cap. 44. Et in libro tertio Legum Longobardicarum Tit. de Episcopis & clericis l. 3. c. 44. Item apud Angelinum lib. 1. cap. 8. Burchardum lib. 3. c. 41. Bonon. part. 3. c. 45. In the next cap. 36. quidam Crispus in questione 7 Gratian distinction is subjoined thereunto in these words: q. pars. ut ergo ex his audiereturibus intelligitur, de manu clericorum Ecclesiasticorum non sunt, nisi cum confessione Episcoporum; justa illud concilij Moguntini &c. which distinction is continued after can. 38. quidam causa 6. questionis, without any new Number or Mark thus: Sicut ergo Authoritate Hieronymi, de cunctis mala possit as a laico Episcopo consilientia licet Monachis recipere &c.

In 1519 the Reformation of the Calendar was rejected by some as insufficient. To that of Gratian's Decretal was not so exact but some discovered many errors, especially in the first part with the Refutations for their corrections; among the rest Alfonso Augustus Bishop of Tarragona (lib. de 2 m. d. Gratiani) was one, and Stephanus Belalcazar was another.